REVIEW ARTICLE

Open Access

Beyond EGFR inhibition: multilateral combat strategies to stop the progression of head and neck cancer

Hyung Kwon Byeon (b^{1,2,3}, Minhee Ku^{3,4,5} and Jaemoon Yang^{3,4,5}

Abstract

Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) overexpression is common in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Targeted therapy specifically directed towards EGFR has been an area of keen interest in head and neck cancer research, as EGFR is potentially an integration point for convergent signaling. Despite the latest advancements in cancer diagnostics and therapeutics against EGFR, the survival rates of patients with advanced head and neck cancer remain disappointing due to anti-EGFR resistance. This review article will discuss recent multilateral efforts to discover and validate actionable strategies that involve signaling pathways in heterogenous head and neck cancer and to overcome anti-EGFR resistance in the era of precision medicine. Particularly, this review will discuss in detail the issue of cancer metabolism, which has recently emerged as a novel mechanism by which head and neck cancer may be successfully controlled according to different perspectives.

Introduction

Head and neck cancer (HNC) is the sixth most common cancer worldwide, as 40,000 new patients are diagnosed every year in the United States, and over 600,000 are diagnosed worldwide¹. Despite the recent advancements in cancer diagnostics and therapeutics, the survival rates of patients with advanced HNC remain disappointing, and ~300,000 patients worldwide die from this disease every year. The anatomy of the head and neck is especially important since it is responsible for many vital functions such as respiration, phonation, and swallowing. Since locoregional invasion and metastases are relatively common and because esthetic or functional disabilities are inevitable following treatment, many difficulties are associated with the treatment of HNC. Conventional

Correspondence: Hyung Kwon Byeon (ewellcastle@gmail.com) or Jaemoon Yang (177hum@yuhs.ac)

© The Author(s) 2019

motherapy, and radiotherapy. Although surgery still plays a definitive role in cases of resectable tumors, limitations in surgical resection clearly exist. Aggressive surgical resection itself would be most troublesome due to the complex and difficult anatomy, especially in cases of locally advanced tumors or recurrent tumors which have been treated with prior chemoradiotherapy. Chemotherapy and radiotherapy are routinely administered to HNC patients in primary definitive, adjuvant, or salvage treatment settings, but advanced cases are typically refractory. Therefore, novel treatment strategies are imperative for the management of HNC, especially in cases where the cancer has progressed beyond an initial stage of resection.

treatment modalities for HNC comprise surgery, che-

HNC has certain notable characteristics. For example, over 90% of all HNCs are pathologically squamous cell carcinomas, and 80–100% of HNCs feature epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) overexpression. Over-expression of EGFR is correlated with decreased survival, resistance to radiation, local treatment failure, and increased distant metastasis. Cetuximab, an EGFR monoclonal antibody, is the only FDA-approved targeted

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

²Systems Molecular Oncology for Head and Neck Cancer, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article. These authors contributed equally: Hyung Kwon Byeon, Jaemoon Yang

agent for HNC. However, treatment results were quite disappointing, unlike the initial expectations for this agent, as monotherapy responses were shown in only 10–30%, which suggests some form of intrinsic resistance. Moreover, patients who do achieve a clear tumor response eventually manifest disease progression due to acquired resistance to cetuximab. Numerous complex mechanisms underlie this treatment resistance. A low response rate to anti-EGFR targeted therapy, distinct inter- and intratumoral heterogeneity, relatively aggressive clinical features, and the functional and esthetic importance of head and neck anatomy are features that make HNC a challenging cancer to treat. This review article will discuss recent efforts in the discovery and validation of actionable targets in heterogenous HNC and methods to overcome anti-EGFR resistance in the era of precision medicine.

The structure and biology of EGFR

EGFR is a 170 kDa transmembrane glycoprotein cell surface receptor that constitutes the ErbB/HER family, together with ErbB2 (HER2/neu), ErbB3 (HER3), and ErbB4 (HER4). All members of the HER family except for HER2 have known ligands. Six main ligands are known to bind to EGFR: EGF, heparin binding-EGF, TGF- α , amphiregulin, betacellulin, and epiregulin². When EGFR binds to its ligand, it causes homodimerization or heterodimerization with other HER receptors (HER2, HER3) or other receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) such as MET or IGF-1 receptor. The activated EGFR affects four major signaling pathways: MAPK, PI3K/AKT/mTOR, PLCy/PKC, and the JAK/ STAT pathway². Several studies have reported that some EGFRs exist as tetramers, which results in their inactivation, but the significance of this form has yet to be revealed^{3,4}. EGFR can also act as a membrane-bound chaperone protein for the sodium-glucose cotransporter, SGLT1^{5,6}. In HNC, known mutations in EGFR are rare, but the overexpression of EGFR together with one of its ligands, such as TGF- α , is relatively common. Autocrine or paracrine activation by EGFR ligands is important for EGFR activation in HNC. Tobacco smoke, a classic contributor to HNC can increase amphiregulin and TGF- α production, which results in direct EGFR activation. Another route of EGFR stimulation is by the indirect activation of G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). GPCR ligands such as PGE2 or gastrinreleasing peptide (GRP) are increased in HNC, and consequent GPCR activation results in Src-mediated MMP activation; this causes the cleavage and release of EGFR proligands (TGF- α , amphiregulin), which ultimately leads to EGFR transactivation^{2,7}. Furthermore, following EGFR activation, the expression of COX2 and its downstream product PGE2 is increased; PGE2 in turn transactivates EGFR, which establishes a positive feedback $loop^{2,8}$.

The biological implications of EGFR are most important when EGFR is in its membrane-bound form as described above, where its activity is regulated by the quantity/ quality of available receptors (overexpression or gain-offunction mutations in EGFR), interactions with other RTKs, and ligand availability. However, the EGFR signaling may also be spatially regulated by dynamic receptor cellular localization and recycling. EGFR itself can pose distinct signaling effects on different cellular compartments. Some have named these proteins 'moonlighting proteins,' where a single protein may have distinct functions according to its subcellular localization⁹. EGFR has several consequences within the cell once it has been engulfed during endocytosis. EGFR can enter the nucleus where it can serve several roles, be returned to the cell surface to continue its signaling function in its membrane-bound form, be directed to lysosomes for degradation, or remain active in endosomal compartments by mammary-derived growth factor inhibitor (MDG1), which leads to the activation of various downstream signals. Among these routes, the nuclear translocation of EGFR can play several important roles in anti-EGFR resistance¹⁰. Nuclear EGFR is known to be associated with poor survival, worse prognosis, and resistance to therapy. Several triggering mechanisms of EGFR nuclear translocation in HNC are known: EGFR ligands, cetuximab, EBV, radiation, and Src family kinase (SFK). Once in the nucleus, EGFR has two distinct functions. First, it acts as a transcription factor that binds to the promoters of multiple genes (iNOS, COX2, Aurora kinase A, B-myb, and cyclin D1) along with DNA-binding transcription cofactors (STAT3, STAT5, and E2F1). Second, nuclear EGFR can directly cause PCNA and DNA-PK phosphorylation due to its intrinsic tyrosine kinase activity. This increased EGFR activity can induce cell proliferation and promote the repair of DNA damage caused by chemoradiotherapy, which results in therapeutic resistance and ultimately cancer progression (Fig. 1).

Tumors with EGFR overexpression and their characteristics

Overexpression or upregulated activity of EGFR is an important molecular characteristic that has been noted in numerous epithelial solid tumors such as colorectal cancer (CRC), non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), HNC, pancreatic cancer, breast cancer, and brain cancer. However, distinguishable mechanisms exist within these EGFR-overexpressing tumors. For instance, *EGFR* amplification and dysregulated EGFR expression together with *KRAS* mutations are commonly found in CRC whereas *EGFR*-activating mutations are important



characteristics in NSCLC. Of the diverse somatic mutations in EGFR in NSCLC, exon 19 deletion and L858R mutation of the EGFR kinase domain are the most common forms, as they account for 85% of all *EGFR* mutations¹¹. In HNC, however, EGFR overexpression is more commonly observed with rare events of *EGFR* mutations or *EGFR* amplifications. EGFR overexpression in HNC is also observed in normal tissue adjacent to the cancer, which supports the notion of field cancerization¹². In short, EGFR functions more as a driver oncogene in NSCLC, while EGFR plays a role as the component of one of the many pathways that contribute to tumor growth in CRC and HNC.

Approaches to EGFR inhibition in cancer

Two main classes of inhibitors target EGFR: monoclonal antibody (mAb)-based drugs and small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). The main action of mAbs is to bind to the extracellular domain (ECD) of EGFR, which blocks ligand-receptor binding and consequently results in the abrogation of EGFR dimerization. The mAbreceptor complex is then internalized after which it is consequently degraded, ultimately resulting in the downregulation of EGFR overexpression. The most wellknown anti-EGFR mAb is cetuximab (chimeric mousehuman IgG1 antibody), which is the only FDA-approved targeted agent for HNC, but other agents such as panitumumab (fully humanized IgG2 antibody) are also under intense evaluation in HNC-based clinical trials^{13,14}. In contrast the primary site of action of TKIs is within the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain of EGFR, where they compete with ATP to eliminate EGFR downstream signaling. TKIs are usually short-acting drugs since they tend to have a much shorter half-life than mAbs. TKIs have several advantages over mAbs such as oral administration and fewer hypersensitivity reactions. Reversible acting EGFR TKIs such as gefitinib and erlotinib have not shown a clinical benefit in HNC, but multitarget TKIs such as lapatinib (reversible dual EGFR and HER2 TKI), afatinib and dacomitinib (both irreversible EGFR, HER2, and HER4 pan-HER TKIs) have shown promise in various clinical trials^{15–18}.

EGFR-targeted mAbs

Anti-EGFR mAbs are generally used in cases of CRC and HNC. However, despite the overexpression of EGFR in these cancers, the initial response rates to cetuximab monotherapy are far from encouraging, and furthermore, treatment responses rapidly decline after a short period of effect. Generally, targeted drug resistance can be divided into the following two types: primary (intrinsic) and secondary (acquired) resistance. Naturally, resistance mechanisms vary among different cancers and the type of EGFR-directed agents used.

The major resistance mechanisms to EGFR-targeted mAbs that have been identified thus far are summarized in Table 1. In CRC in particular, the activation of a bypass signaling pathway, also referred to as 'oncogenic shift,' is a major mechanism of resistance to cetuximab. *KRAS* activation is an important mechanism of innate and acquired drug resistance, but resistance may also be mediated through other signaling networks such as MET,

 Table 1
 Resistance mechanisms to anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies

Major mechanisms	Action	References
Overexpressions of EGFR/ ligands	Overexpressions of EGFR and TGF- $\!\alpha$	24,112
Dysregulation of EGFR internalization and degradation by ubiquitination	EGFR is downregulated but its affinity to other activating signals are strengthened	24,113
MDG1 binding	MDG1-bound intracellular EGFR avoids extracellular targeting	114
Nuclear translocation of EGFR	Transcription of multiple genes or directly phosphorylates PCNA and DNA-PK	87
Enhanced SFK-mediated signaling	Promotion of EGFR nuclear translocation	113,115
EGFRvIII	Constitutively activated EGFR in a ligand- independent manner	116
KRAS mutation	Constant activation of EGFR downstream signals	117
PTEN loss	PI3K/AKT signal activation	117
Increased heterodimerization of EGFR or HER2 with HER3	PI3K/AKT pathway signal enhanced	24,115
Crosstalks	Crosstalk with HGF-MET	24,37
	Crosstalk with VEGF-VEGFR1	118,119
EMT	Local invasion and distant metastasis	120

HER2/3, BRAF, and PIK3CA, which share the same mechanisms in other cancers. Additionally, in CRC, some have reported an acquired EGFR mutation in the ECD region (S492R), which hinders cetuximab binding. Unlike the oncogenic addiction of EGFR-mutant NSCLC, EGFR, as one of many pathways that contributes to tumor growth in CRC, leads to certain clinical implications. Treatment responses to EGFR inhibitor monotherapy will be relatively less pronounced and overcoming EGFR resistance may be less feasible due to alternate crosstalk mechanisms in CRC. Therefore, a combinatorial treatment strategy may be more applicable in CRC compared with NSCLC in which a single driver oncogene is responsible. These specific considerations in CRC have similar implications in HNC, which will be discussed in more detail below.

EGFR-targeted TKIs

EGFR TKIs are more commonly applied in NSCLCs, which exhibit oncogene addiction to EGFR signaling. The most common EGFR-activating mutation, L858R, is considered a predictor of sensitivity to EGFR TKIs. As with mAbs, EGFR-targeted TKIs also manifest various resistance mechanisms (Table 2). The most common mechanism of TKI resistance in NSCLC is the EGFR T790M 'gatekeeper' mutation, which is found in nearly 60% of patients who present with acquired resistance. This secondary kinase mutation results in a drugresistant state of the cancer, where the actions of EGFR inhibitors are abrogated while its intrinsic EGFR kinase activity is maintained; this in turn contributes to 'oncogenic drift'. This acquired resistance to first-generation EGFR TKIs such as erlotinib and gefitinib led to the clinical development of second-generation EGFR TKIs¹⁹. Second-generation TKIs such as afatinib and dacomitinib were designed specifically to enhance the treatment efficacy via the formation of irreversible covalent attachments to the EGFR kinase domain and action against a broader range of targets such as other HER family receptors (HER2, HER4) and structurally similar receptors (VEGFR). Their stronger binding activity to this secondary EGFR mutation revealed relatively more robust EGFR targeting ability, but these drugs are still limited. Therefore, third-generation TKIs were developed to specifically act against the T790M EGFR mutation. Osimertinib (AZD9291) has been recently approved by the FDA for NSCLCs harboring the EGFR T790M mutation²⁰. Its primary mode of action is irreversible binding to EGFR with the T790M-mutation, but its effects against EGFR with a L858R mutation or an exon 19 deletion have also been demonstrated. However, a new form of tertiary EGFR C797S mutation has recently emerged, and ways to overcome resistance conferred by this mutation are currently being investigated 21-23.

Table 2Resistance mechanisms to anti-EGFR tyrosinekinase inhibitors

Major mechanisms	Action	References
EGFR mutations	T790M, C797S mutations	21, 121,122
EGFRvIII	Constitutively activated EGFR in a ligand- independent manner	123
PTEN mutation/loss	PI3K/AKT signal activation	124,125
KRAS mutations	Constant activation of EGFR downstream signals	126
Crosstalk	Increased expressions of HER2/HER3	26
	ADAM17 mediated NRG1 release leading to autocrine activation of HER2/HER3	127
	Crosstalk with MET	128
	HGF overexpression	129
	Crosstalk with AXL	41
	Crosstalk with VEGF-VEGFR	119,130
IGF-1R	Crosstalk, upregulation of IGF-1R	131
activation	Decreased expressions of regulators of IGF- 1R ligands (IGFBP3/IGFBP4) leading to increased availability of IGF-1/IGF-2	132
EMT	Local invasion and distant metastasis	120
Histologic transformation	NSCLC to small cell lung cancer	133,134

EGFR inhibitor resistance in HNC

In HNC, most research has focused mainly on the underlying mechanisms of cetuximab resistance since it is the only FDA-approved targeted agent that is currently used in the clinic. From elucidation of the resistance mechanisms, many strategies to overcome such resistance have been be proposed (Fig. 2).

HER3

Additional activation of HER3 signaling has been elucidated as one of the major, prominent mechanisms that underlies acquired resistance to cetuximab in HNC^{10,24,25}. Upregulated HER3 signaling has also been recognized as a resistance mechanism to the EGFR TKI, gefitinib²⁶. Phosphorylated HER3 in turn mediates potent activation of PI3K/AKT signaling. The activity of HER3 is dependent on EGFR and HER2, and HER2/HER3 heterodimerization is the main form contributing to cetuximab resistance in HNC. Therefore, simultaneous blocking of EGFR with either HER2 or HER3 has exhibited promising antitumor effects and has been proposed as an important strategy to overcome resistance to cetuximab. Combinatorial treatment of cetuximab with pertuzumab (2C4; HER2 monoclonal antibody)²⁴ or seribantumab (MM-121; HER3 monoclonal antibody)²⁵ results in effective blocking of both EGFR and HER3 signals and potent tumor suppression. Likewise, it has been demonstrated that lapatinib can effectively disrupt HER3 activation by blocking HER2/HER3 heterodimerization, either as monotherapy in intrinsically NRG1/HER3-enriched HNC²⁷ or as a combinatorial treatment with cetuximab in cetuximabresistant HNC (authors' unpublished data). Pan-HER TKIs such as dacomitinib have also shown superior treatment efficacies compared with cetuximab or erlotinib alone in HNC cell lines²⁸. Furthermore, the EGFR- and HER3-bispecific monoclonal antibody duligotuzumab (MEHD7945A) has recently been developed and holds promise²⁹. Due to the high expression of NRG1 in HER3enriched HNC, a significant role of NRG1-mediated autocrine signaling has been suggested in HER3mediated cetuximab resistance. Therefore, inhibition with NRG1-neutralizing antibodies could be a potential treatment strategy^{30,31}.

SFKs

The SFKs are another important mediator of resistance to EGFR-targeted therapy in HNC. Generally, the SFKs are involved in anti-EGFR resistance and progression of HNC via three primary mechanisms. The first mechanism of EGFR resistance by SFKs is the mediation of cetuximab- or radiation-induced EGFR nuclear translocation, which leads to cetuximab resistance³². The blockade of SFKs by dasatinib treatment abrogates the process of EGFR nuclear translocation promoted by cetuximab or radiation. The second mode of action is that SFKs mediate the cleavage of EGFR proligands and consequent EGFR hyperactivation⁷. More specifically, Src is activated by the GRP/GRP receptor and contributes to the cleavage and extracellular release of TGF- α and amphiregulin, a process that is mediated by MMPs; this leads to EGFR and downstream MAPK activation^{2,7}. The proteolytic release of TGF- α and amphiregulin by GRP stimulation is blocked not only by a MMP inhibitor but also by a SFK inhibitor. The third role of SFKs concerns ligandindependent activation of MET^{33,34}. Notably, this mode of resistance is specifically relevant to erlotinib and not cetuximab³³. In one study, Src inhibition resulted in MET inhibition³⁴. Therefore, the addition of a MET or Src inhibitor to erlotinib treatment may lead to a synergistic effect in erlotinib-resistant HNC.

HGF/MET

MET is involved in another well-established resistance mechanism of EGFR inhibition. HGF is the sole known ligand of MET. Genomic data of HNC reveals that gene



Fig. 2 Major resistance mechanisms against EGFR inhibition in HNC. This schematic diagram illustrates reported resistance mechanisms to anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) or tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) that are relevant in HNC. Inhibitors of specific targets are highlighted in red. Numbers indicate relevant references in the text

amplifications or mutations in the MET gene are relatively rare, with ~20% of HNC presenting either an amplification or copy number gain and fewer than 1% harboring a gene mutation. However, HGF (50%)/MET (80%) overexpression is relatively common in HNC^{35,36}. Compensatory activation of MET is the key mechanism that contributes to acquired resistance to cetuximab^{24,37}. HGF acts mainly as a paracrine factor rather than as an autocrine activator of MET in HNC, and because it is secreted by cancer-associated fibroblasts, it is abundant in the tumor microenvironment³⁸. Although this paracrine effect of HGF is the primary activating mode of MET, it can also be activated in a ligand-independent manner through the mediation of Src, particularly in erlotinib- or gefitinib-resistant tumors^{33,39}. Furthermore, MET can also be activated to some degree by heterodimerization with HER3^{10,24,40}, and therefore, blocking MET would be an important strategy to overcome resistance to anti-EGFR therapies. Three approaches have been established to target MET: anti-MET or anti-HGF mAbs such as ficlatuzumab, rilotumumab, and onartuzumab; TKIs such as foretinib, crizotinib, tivantinib, cabozantinib; a NK4 decoy, which is a truncated, soluble MET receptor that acts as an HGF antagonist.

AXL

Together with Tyro-3 and MerTK, AXL constitutes the TAM family of RTKs. Previously, the oncogenic RTK AXL was implicated in resistance to EGFR TKIs such as erlotinib in HNC⁴¹, but AXL was also found to be both overexpressed and hyperactivated in cetuximab-resistant HNC⁴². More importantly, the elimination of HER2 or

HER3 receptors in cetuximab-resistant HNC cells has no effect on EGFR phosphorylation, whereas AXL knockdown causes a prominent decrease in EGFR activity and significant inhibition of tumor proliferation. From these findings, additionally targeting the AXL appears to be a rational approach to overcome EGFR resistance, since HER2/HER3 signaling inhibition is not sufficient for complete tumor suppression^{42,43}. Furthermore, AXL promotes EGFR nuclear translocation and transcriptional induction of NRG1 and SFKs, which leads to autocrine activation of HER3, EGFR-HER3 interaction, and EGFR activation⁴⁴. This provides compelling evidence that the previously described major resistance mechanisms to EGFR inhibitors are all intimately connected to one another. AXL has further roles in the activation of rapamycin/ribosomal protein S6 signaling and the induction of epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), which mediates resistance to PI3K and EGFR inhibition⁴⁴.

p53

The p53 protein is a tumor suppressor that plays a vital role in the suppression of cancer progression by promoting cell-cycle arrest, apoptosis, and senescence. The *TP53* gene is the most commonly mutated gene in HNC^{45,46}, and loss of p53 function is found in more than 90% of HNC cases^{35,47}. *TP53* mutations in HNC are correlated with poor clinical outcomes⁴⁸, and p53 protein also plays a significant role in acquired resistance to EGFR inhibitors based on the identification of a robust loss of p53 in HNC cells that are resistant to cetuximab or erlotinib⁴⁹. Furthermore, the loss of p53 also demonstrates cross-resistance to radiation, and therefore,

restoration of p53 function resensitizes HNC to cetuximab and radiation, just as dasatinib enhances both cetuximab therapy and radiotherapy³². p53 regulates sensitivity to EGFR inhibitors by controlling EGFR downstream pathways such as ERK signaling and the PI3K/AKT pathway^{50,51}. Targeting the cell cycle, including p53, therefore seems to be a promising therapeutic strategy in HNC.

The function of p53 is also important in cancer metabolism because it modulates glycolysis in several ways. p53 inhibits glycolysis by reducing the gene expression of *GLUT1* and increasing gene expressions of *TP53*-induced glycolysis and apoptosis regulator and phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted from chromosome $10^{52,53}$. In addition, p53 inhibits the pentose phosphate pathway, which is involved in nucleotide biosynthesis⁵⁴. Furthermore, p53 induces the expression of synthesis of cytochrome c oxidase deficient homolog 2 and glutaminase 2 in the mitochondria for oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS)^{55,56}. In cancer cells with functional loss of p53, the Warburg effect will therefore be accentuated, and thus targeting the p53 protein will have further important implications in HNC.

EMT

For the progression and dissemination of cancer, tumor cells need to migrate, invade, and metastasize, as well as proliferate. Clinically, the invasiveness of a tumor is directly related to patient prognosis⁵⁷. It is widely accepted that these processes are executed by EMT induction. During EMT, tumor cells at the primary site lose cell–cell contacts, engage in cytoskeletal remodeling, acquire mesenchymal and stem cell signatures, and display migratory phenotypes. As stated above, EMT is another mechanism that contributes to resistance to anti-EGFR therapies in HNC⁵⁸.

In particular, expression of a stemness marker CD44 is increased during EMT⁵⁹, and plays significant roles in HNC progression. The exons of the CD44 gene are alternatively spliced to produce multiple variant isoforms of CD44 (CD44v), and of these, the CD44 isoforms v3, v6, and v10 are particularly significant in HNC⁶⁰. The expression of these variant isoforms in HNC were found to be related to lymph node/distant metastasis, advanced disease, poor survival, and chemoresistance, and generally, CD44 expression is primarily concentrated at the invasive fronts of tumors^{60,61}. CD44 has a principle role in the mediation of resistance to drug therapy including EGFR-targeted agents^{61,62}. Hyaluronan (HA), which is a major constituent of the extracellular matrix (ECM), is the primary ligand of CD44. As HA binds to the CD44 receptor, which is localized at the cell surface, a CD44-EGFR complex is formed. This complex in turn initiates various downstream signals mediated by leukemiaassociated Rho-guanine (LARG) nucleotide exchange factor. The HA/CD44-EGFR-LARG complex can activate Ras-mediated MAPK signaling or RhoA-mediated RhoK signaling, which leads to either myosin light chain phosphatase and MMP activation and consequent ECM degradation, or PI3K signaling activation⁶³. Moreover, HA/CD44 signaling can induce cytoskeleton activation, either by RhoA/PLC-mediated intracellular Ca²⁺ release and subsequent calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase type II activation, or by direct interaction of ankyrin and ezrin-radixin-moesin proteins with CD44⁶⁴. The role of CD44 in metastasis has also been rigorously investigated in a recent report⁶⁵. In that study, a subpopulation of CD44^{high} oral carcinoma cells was slowcycling, exhibited overexpression of genes related to fatty acid metabolism, and was involved in lymphatic/distant metastasis rather than tumor proliferation. Furthermore, these specific cancer cells exhibited CD36 overexpression, a cell surface receptor which uptakes extracellular lipid to obtain ATP energy through lipid β -oxidation⁶⁶. The contribution of CD44 to CD36-mediated fatty acid oxidation (FAO) in 'initiating metastasis' suggests a novel, alternative strategy of FAO suppression in HNC.

The interaction of tumor cells with the ECM is an integral factor in local invasion and metastasis of cancer. ECM degradation is caused by proteolytic enzymes, typically MMPs, that are secreted by tumor cells. MMPs are classified into collagenases (MMP-1, -8, and -13), gelatinases (MMP-2 and -9), stromelysins (MMP-3, -10, -11, and -27), matrilysins (MMP-7 and -26), enamelysin (MMP-20), metalloelastase (MMP-12), membrane-type MMPs (MMP-14 to 17, -24, and -25), and others (MMP-19, -21, -23, and -28) by dependent substrates⁶⁷. In particular, MT1-MMP (MMP-14) is a zinc-dependent proteinase expressed in the cell membrane that is involved in the promotion of tumor growth and metastasis⁶⁸. EGFR is activated by the cleavage of activating growth factor molecules or by the dispersion of receptor ligands within the ECM onto the cell surface⁶⁹. Several proteinases such as MMPs and ADAMs regulate growth factors⁷⁰. Specifically, MT1-MMP is involved in the dispersion of EGF ligands such as HB-EGF and EGF-like fragment released from the $\gamma 2$ chain of laminin 5, which activate EGFR⁷¹. The activated EGFR signals in turn induce MT1-MMP expression and promote invasion of HNC by EMMPRIN-mediated MMP-2 and MMP-9 expression⁷². MT1-MMP also promotes intracellular signaling through Src and MAPK, and the shedding of CD44^{73,74}. It was also reported that MT1-MMP modulates tumor-induced angiogenesis⁷⁵. More noteworthy is that MT1-MMP supports the maintenance of energy metabolism via the mediation of the direct or indirect uptake of glucose and lipoproteins⁷⁶. In short, MT1-MMP plays many key roles in growth, invasion, metastasis, and

energy metabolism of cancer cells. Therefore, EGFR signaling and downstream gene expression could be regulated by a broad spectrum of MMP inhibitors such as clinically tested batimastat (BB-94), ilomastat (GM6001) and marimastat (BB-2516) or by the MT1-MMP-specific inhibitor NSC405020^{77,78}.

Therapeutic strategies beyond simple EGFR inhibition in HNC

Reinforcement of oncogenic signaling inhibition

Although many important mechanisms contribute to resistance to EGFR-targeted therapies in HNC, EGFR is still a significant therapeutic target. The importance of EGFR overexpression aside, EGFR is an integral point for convergent signaling pathways, and EGFR targeting should form the basis of oncogenic signaling inhibition. Therefore, multilateral strategies that strengthen the inhibition of oncogenic signals should be employed.

Simultaneous inhibition of both the ECD and the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain of EGFR by combination of a mAb and a TKI can be a rational approach to enhance EGFR inhibition. The complementary actions of a mAb and TKI can be combined to present a deadly blow to the tumor by throwing a 'HER1-2 punch'79. The synergistic effect of combining cetuximab with erlotinib or gefitinib have been extensively studied in many EGFRdependent cancers⁸⁰⁻⁸². One study showed a marked increase in EGFR mRNA in erlotinib-resistant tumors, which could be abrogated by cetuximab treatment⁸¹. Therefore, both EGFR downregulation and suppression of EGFR activity can be achieved. This dual inhibition of EGFR was also shown to be effective in HNC, where gefitinib or erlotinib still retained its antitumor activity in cetuximab-resistant cells⁸³.

In consideration of crosstalk mechanisms with other HER family receptors following EGFR inhibition, the inhibition of a multitude of HER receptors would be an effective treatment strategy to overcome resistance. This so-called 'horizontal targeting' strategy in combination with EGFR inhibitors has been intensively investigated in NSCLC and has shown promising outcomes in both preclinical and clinical studies^{84–86}. The combination of afatinib and cetuximab exerted a synergistic effect in EGFR TKI-resistant NSCLC from not only the inhibitory actions of multi-HER receptors but also from the dual inhibition of the extracellular and intracellular domains of EGFR⁸⁵. Furthermore, this combinatorial strategy even showed promising results when administered as a firstline therapy in TKI-naïve NSCLC⁸⁶. Multi-HER inhibitors such as lapatinib, afatinib, and dacomitinib have also shown encouraging results as single agents in HNC^{16,27,28}, and combinatorial treatment of afatinib and cetuximab has shown promise in HNC¹⁶. For all the reasons discussed above, the combined treatment of cetuximab with either afatinib or dacomitinib deserves attention as an active area of investigation. Additionally, horizontal targeting of another major resistance molecule, MET could also be considered.

In distinction to the horizontal targeting strategy, vertical targeting of EGFR can be achieved by combinatorial inhibition of EGFR and RTKs such as SFKs or AXL, which mediate the nuclear translocation of EGFR⁸⁷. This inhibition would be particularly advantageous since they are also involved in signaling crosstalk with molecules such as MET and HER3, which play mechanistically important roles in conferring anti-EGFR resistance in HNC as discussed above.

Targeting cancer metabolism

Deregulating cellular energetics or reprogramming of cellular metabolism is an important emerging hallmark of cancer⁸⁸. Normally, eukaryotic cells under aerobic conditions utilize glucose to produce pyruvate by glycolysis, after which the pyruvate then enters the tricarboxylic acid cycle in the mitochondria and consequently yields 36 molecules of ATP by OXPHOS. However, in anaerobic conditions, glycolysis is favored instead of OXPHOS and glucose is catabolized to lactate, which results in the generation of 2 molecules of ATP. Common features of the altered energy metabolism in cancer cells are increased glucose uptake and preference for glycolysis even in the presence of oxygen (hence the term 'aerobic glycolysis'), which is known as the 'Warburg effect'⁸⁹. Biological advantages which cancer cells expect from this metabolic rewiring despite its rather inefficient, counterintuitive process are faster rate of ATP production, reduced generation of reactive oxygen species, stability of glycolytic fueling under hypoxic conditions which many tumors lie, and increased shunting of glycolytic intermediates into various biosynthetic pathways to accomplish nucleotide, amino acid, and lipid synthesis needed for active cell proliferation. Cancer cells therefore enhance glycolysis by various compensatory mechanisms such as increasing glucose uptake by upregulating glucose transporter GLUT1 and activation of oncogenes (RAS, MYC) or mutation of tumor suppressor genes (TP53)^{88,90,91}. Clinical implications of the Warburg effect are the incorporation of the glucose analog ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose, which is used in cancer diagnostics in positron emission tomography⁹², and the administration of yet another glucose analog, 2-deoxyglucose (2DG), as a therapy against many cancers including HNC^{93,94}.

More recently, there has been an accumulation of refuting evidences against the Warburg effect. Unlike the initial understanding that cancer cells possess defective OXPHOS (hence the predominance of glycolysis), it is now widely accepted that cancer cells have normally functioning mitochondria capable of OXPHOS^{95,96}.

Especially under metabolic stress conditions such as restricted glucose supply, cancer cells can generate ATP through mitochondrial oxidation of cellular fuels other than glucose, most typically glutamine and fatty acids^{97,98}. Whatsmore, unlike the anabolic metabolism with glycolysis, OXPHOS is mainly promoted for catabolic metabolism in cancer cells undergoing EMT. There are reports that in solid tumors, when cancer cells lose ECM attachment or migrate for metastasis, the cells markedly decrease glucose uptake and undergo energetic stress. The cells depend on FAO instead of glycolysis to meet the high demand for ATP required for cell survival^{97,99,100}. Tumors with a lipogenic phenotype are associated with disease aggressiveness, worse prognosis, chemoresistance, and protection of cells against oxidative stress^{101,102}. Therefore, therapies that act against mitochondrial DNA or OXPHOS should be viable anti-cancer strategies. Previous studies reported a reduction in the tumorigenic potential of cancer cells by targeting the mitochondrial DNA^{103,104}, and agents such as metformin (an OXPHOS inhibitor) and etomoxir (an FAO inhibitor) are already being investigated as promising therapeutic options. Numerous studies have been conducted and have demonstrated that metformin is a potentially effective agent in HNC¹⁰⁵. Specific suppression of FAO by CPT-1 inhibition with etomoxir demonstrates antitumor effects in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and restores sensitivity to a conventional chemotherapeutic agent, gemcitabine¹⁰⁶. Its effect on HNC has yet to be studied, but positive results are anticipated. Since cancer cells adapt to glycolysis inhibition by utilizing alternative nutrients to increase OXPHOS, the combinatorial treatment strategy of using both a glycolytic inhibitor (2DG) and an OXPHOS inhibitor (metformin) rather than either agent alone, has been studied as an effective treatment because it exerts a synergistic therapeutic effect in the induction of cell death^{103,107}.

Alteration in cellular energy metabolism is considered to be a universal hallmark of cancer. Increased glucose uptake, enhanced glycolysis and FAO are also distinctive



Fig. 3 Metabolic patterns against bioenergetic stress in progressive HNC. Increased aerobic glycolysis or Warburg effect is a characteristic pattern of metabolism in cancers including HNC. However, due to metabolic stress, EMT changes, or certain drug treatments, cancer cells undergo metabolic rewiring where oxidative phosphorylation is favored instead of glycolysis. Specific actions and their consequences are highlighted in red. Additionally, possible connections between signaling pathways in cancer and cancer metabolism are suggested as shown. Numbers indicate relevant references in the text



hallmarks of HNC (Fig. 3)¹⁰⁸. As previously discussed, mutant p53 plays many central roles in not only the initiation and progression of HNC but also in the context of cancer metabolism, where it modulates the glycolysis pathway in multiple ways. In addition, based on the association of CD44 and the initiation of metastasis of HNC cells by CD36-mediated fatty acid uptake, this HNC-specific FAO could be a potentially effective target by which metastasis and progression of HNC can be controlled⁶⁵. This widespread but distinctive trait of cancer can be exploited as a therapeutic target and it is expected that it will complement the former targeted therapy by overcoming its current limitations. Recently, some have suggested a close relationship between oncogenic signaling such as the AKT-mTOR axis, which is the downstream signaling pathway of EGFR and other RTKs, and lipid metabolism, which is centered on oncogenic activation of sterol regulatory element-binding proteins^{109,110}. A recent report has linked cetuximab resistance with the rewiring mechanism of cancer metabolism in HNC¹¹¹. Cellular stress exerted by cetuximab reverses the Warburg effect, which in turn induces FAO stimulation and fatty acid synthesis inhibition through AMPactivated protein kinase activation. Therefore, future research should be directed toward this innovative approach to achieve novel insights and to advance HNC treatment. By simultaneously targeting two distinct areas of cancer, signaling networks and metabolism, the therapeutic effects would be maximized.

Conclusion

In this article, studies concerning targeted therapies that involve central EGFR signaling in HNC have been comprehensively reviewed. Although numerous resistance mechanisms to EGFR-targeted therapies have been reported in HNC, EGFR is still important as an integral point for convergent signaling pathways, and therefore, EGFR targeting should form the basis of oncogenic signaling inhibition. As previously suggested, strategies that reinforce oncogenic signaling inhibition by dual inhibition of the ECD and the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain of EGFR or horizontal/vertical targeting should be considered.

Herein, one notable characteristic feature of HNC is reprogrammed energy metabolism, which involves enhanced glycolysis and alternative activation of OXPHOS. Targeting cancer metabolism would be a distinctive strategy from targeting signaling pathways, and the combination of these two different approaches holds novel promise in exerting a multilateral combat strategy against HNC (Fig. 4). From several clues that suggest connections between cancer metabolism and signaling pathways in HNC, a more detailed understanding of how cancer metabolism is mechanistically involved in EGFR- mediated signaling in HNC is required. In the era of precision medicine and multiomics, evaluating the genetic profile of each individual patient and incorporating the patient profile into therapeutics form the basis of modern cancer treatment and preparing a multilateral strategy would be feasible for HNC patients in the next future.

Author details

¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea. ²Systems Molecular Oncology for Head and Neck Cancer, Seoul, Republic of Korea. ³Systems Molecular Radiology at Yonsei, Seoul, Republic of Korea. ⁴Department of Radiology, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea. ⁵Research Institute of Radiological Science, Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Conflict of interest

H.K.B. is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (NRF-2016R1C1B2011211). M.K. is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (No.2017R1C1B2010867). J.Y. is supported by a grant of the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (grant number: HI16C0179).

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 26 June 2018 Revised: 3 September 2018 Accepted: 9 October 2018

Published online: 16 January 2019

References

- Ferlay, J. et al. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. Int J. Cancer 127, 2893–2917 (2010).
- Kalyankrishna, S. & Grandis, J. R. Epidermal growth factor receptor biology in head and neck cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 24, 2666–2672 (2006).
- Clayton, A. H. et al. Ligand-induced dimer-tetramer transition during the activation of the cell surface epidermal growth factor receptor: a multidimensional microscopy analysis. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 30392–30399 (2005).
- Furuuchi, K., Berezov, A., Kurnagai, T. & Greene, M. I. Targeted antireceptor therapy with monoclonal antibodies leads to the formation of inactivated tetrameric forms of ErbB receptors. J. Immunol. 178, 1021–1029 (2007).
- 5. Weihua, Z. et al. Survival of cancer cells is maintained by EGFR independent of its kinase activity. *Cancer Cell* **13**, 385–393 (2008).
- Dittmann, K., Mayer, C., Rodemann, H. P. & Huber, S. M. EGFR cooperates with glucose transporter SGLT1 to enable chromatin remodeling in response to ionizing radiation. *Radiother. Oncol.* **107**, 247–251 (2013).
- Zhang, Q. et al. SRC family kinases mediate epidermal growth factor receptor ligand cleavage, proliferation, and invasion of head and neck cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* 64, 6166–6173 (2004).
- Harari, P. M., Wheeler, D. L. & Grandis, J. R. Molecular target approaches in head and neck cancer: epidermal growth factor receptor and beyond. *Semin. Radiat. Oncol.* **19**, 63–68 (2009).
- Min, K. W., Lee, S. H. & Baek, S. J. Moonlighting proteins in cancer. *Cancer Lett.* 370, 108–116 (2016).
- Zhang, J., Saba, N. F., Chen, G. Z. & Shin, D. M. Targeting HER (ERBB) signaling in head and neck cancer: an essential update. *Mol. Asp. Med.* 45, 74–86 (2015).
- 11. Chong, C. R. & Jänne, P. A. The quest to overcome resistance to EGFRtargeted therapies in cancer. *Nat. Med.* **19**, 1389–1400 (2013).
- Grandis, J. R. & Tweardy, D. J. Elevated levels of transforming growth factor alpha and epidermal growth factor receptor messenger RNA are early markers of carcinogenesis in head and neck cancer. *Cancer Res.* 53, 3579–3584 (1993).

- Wirth, L. J. et al. Phase I dose-finding study of paclitaxel with panitumumab, carboplatin and intensity-modulated radiotherapy in patients with locally advanced squamous cell cancer of the head and neck. *Ann. Oncol.* 21, 342–347 (2010).
- Vermorken, J. B. et al. Cisplatin and fluorouracil with or without panitumumab in patients with recurrent or metastatic squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck (SPECTRUM): an open-label phase 3 randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 14, 697–710 (2013).
- Harrington, K. et al. Randomised phase II study of oral lapatinib combined with chemoradiotherapy in patients with advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: rationale for future randomised trials in human papilloma virus-negative disease. *Eur. J. Cancer* **49**, 1609–1618 (2013).
- Seiwert, T. Y. et al. A randomized, phase II study of afatinib versus cetuximab in metastatic or recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Ann. Oncol.* 25, 1813–1820 (2014).
- Kim, H. S. et al. Phase II clinical and exploratory biomarker study of dacomitinib in patients with recurrent and/or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of head and neck. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 21, 544–552 (2015).
- Elicin, O. & Ozsahin, M. Current role of dacomitinib in head and neck cancer. Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs 25, 735–742 (2016).
- Yu, H. A. & Riely, G. J. Second-generation epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in lung cancers. J. Natl. Compr. Canc. Netw. 11, 161–169 (2013).
- Wang, S., Cang, S. & Liu, D. Third-generation inhibitors targeting EGFR T790M mutation in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. J. Hematol. Oncol. 9, 34 (2016).
- Thress, K. S. et al. Acquired EGFR C797S mutation mediates resistance to AZD9291 in non-small cell lung cancer harboring EGFR T790M. *Nat. Med.* 21, 560–562 (2015).
- 22. Jia, Y. et al. Overcoming EGFR(T790M) and EGFR(C797S) resistance with mutant-selective allosteric inhibitors. *Nature* **534**, 129–132 (2016).
- Wang, S., Song, Y. & Liu, D. EAI045: the fourth-generation EGFR inhibitor overcoming T790M and C797S resistance. *Cancer Lett.* 385, 51–54 (2017).
- 24. Wheeler, D. L. et al. Mechanisms of acquired resistance to cetuximab: role of HER (ErbB) family members. *Oncogene* **27**, 3944–3956 (2008).
- Wang, D. et al. HER3 targeting sensitizes HNSCC to cetuximab by reducing HER3 activity and HER2/HER3 dimerization: evidence from cell line and patient-derived xenograft models. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 23, 677–686 (2017).
- Erjala, K. et al. Signaling via ErbB2 and ErbB3 associates with resistance and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) amplification with sensitivity to EGFR inhibitor gefitinib in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 12, 4103–4111 (2006).
- Wilson, T. R., Lee, D. Y., Berry, L., Shames, D. S. & Settleman, J. Neuregulin-1mediated autocrine signaling underlies sensitivity to HER2 kinase inhibitors in a subset of human cancers. *Cancer Cell* **20**, 158–172 (2011).
- Ather, F. et al. Dacomitinib, an irreversible Pan-ErbB inhibitor significantly abrogates growth in head and neck cancer models that exhibit low response to cetuximab. *PLoS ONE* 8, e56112 (2013).
- Huang, S. et al. Dual targeting of EGFR and HER3 with MEHD7945A overcomes acquired resistance to EGFR inhibitors and radiation. *Cancer Res.* 73, 824–833 (2013).
- Shames, D. S. et al. High heregulin expression is associated with activated HER3 and may define an actionable biomarker in patients with squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck. *PLoS ONE* 8, e56765 (2013).
- lida, M. et al. Overcoming acquired resistance to cetuximab by dual targeting HER family receptors with antibody-based therapy. *Mol. Cancer* **13**, 242 (2014).
- Li, C., lida, M., Dunn, E. F. & Wheeler, D. L. Dasatinib blocks cetuximab- and radiation-induced nuclear translocation of the epidermal growth factor receptor in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Radiother. Oncol.* 97, 330–337 (2010).
- Stabile, L. P. et al. c-Src activation mediates erlotinib resistance in head and neck cancer by stimulating c-Met. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 19, 380–392 (2013).
- Sen, B., Peng, S., Saigal, B., Williams, M. D. & Johnson, F. M. Distinct interactions between c-Src and c-Met in mediating resistance to c-Src inhibition in head and neck cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **17**, 514–524 (2011).
- Kang, H., Kiess, A. & Chung, C. H. Emerging biomarkers in head and neck cancer in the era of genomics. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 12, 11–26 (2015).

- Hartmann, S., Bhola, N. E. & Grandis, J. R. HGF/Met signaling in head and neck cancer: impact on the tumor microenvironment. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 22, 4005–4013 (2016).
- Madoz-Gurpide, J. et al. Activation of MET pathway predicts poor outcome to cetuximab in patients with recurrent or metastatic head and neck cancer. J. Transl. Med. 13, 282 (2015).
- Knowles, L. M. et al. HGF and c-Met participate in paracrine tumorigenic pathways in head and neck squamous cell cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 15, 3740–3750 (2009).
- Xu, H. et al. Dual blockade of EGFR and c-Met abrogates redundant signaling and proliferation in head and neck carcinoma cells. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 17, 4425–4438 (2011).
- Seiwert, T. Y. et al. The MET receptor tyrosine kinase is a potential novel therapeutic target for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* 69, 3021–3031 (2009).
- Giles, K. M. et al. AXL mediates acquired resistance of head and neck cancer cells to the epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor erlotinib. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **12**, 2541–2558 (2013).
- Brand, T. M. et al. AXL mediates resistance to cetuximab therapy. *Cancer Res.* 74, 5152–5164 (2014).
- 43. Brand, T. M. et al. AXL is a logical molecular target in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **21**, 2601–2612 (2015).
- Brand, T. M. et al. The receptor tyrosine kinase AXL mediates nuclear translocation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *Sci. Signal.* 10, eaag1064 (2017). pii.
- Agrawal, N. et al. Exome sequencing of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma reveals inactivating mutations in NOTCH1. *Science* 333, 1154–1157 (2011).
- Stransky, N. et al. The mutational landscape of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Science* 333, 1157–1160 (2011).
- Leemans, C. R., Braakhuis, B. J. & Brakenhoff, R. H. The molecular biology of head and neck cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 11, 9–22 (2011).
- Brosh, R. & Rotter, V. When mutants gain new powers: news from the mutant p53 field. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 9, 701–713 (2009).
- 49. Huang, S. et al. p53 modulates acquired resistance to EGFR inhibitors and radiation. *Cancer Res.* **71**, 7071–7079 (2011).
- Sauer, L., Gitenay, D., Vo, C. & Baron, V. T. Mutant p53 initiates a feedback loop that involves Egr-1/EGF receptor/ERK in prostate cancer cells. *Oncogene* 29, 2628–2637 (2010).
- Singh, B. et al. p53 regulates cell survival by inhibiting PIK3CA in squamous cell carcinomas. *Genes Dev.* 16, 984–993 (2002).
- Bensaad, K. et al. TIGAR, a p53-inducible regulator of glycolysis and apoptosis. Cell 126, 107–120 (2006).
- Feng, Z. et al. The regulation of AMPK beta1, TSC2, and PTEN expression by p53: stress, cell and tissue specificity, and the role of these gene products in modulating the IGF-1-AKT-mTOR pathways. *Cancer Res.* 67, 3043–3053 (2007).
- Jiang, P. et al. p53 regulates biosynthesis through direct inactivation of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **13**, 310–316 (2011).
- 55. Matoba, S. et al. p53 regulates mitochondrial respiration. *Science* **312**, 1650–1653 (2006).
- Hu, W. et al. Glutaminase 2, a novel p53 target gene regulating energy metabolism and antioxidant function. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 7455–7460 (2010).
- 57. Valastyan, S. & Weinberg, R. A. Tumor metastasis: molecular insights and evolving paradigms. *Cell* **147**, 275–292 (2011).
- Holz, C. et al. Epithelial-mesenchymal-transition induced by EGFR activation interferes with cell migration and response to irradiation and cetuximab in head and neck cancer cells. *Radiother. Oncol.* **101**, 158–164 (2011).
- Barriere, G. et al. Circulating tumor cells and epithelial, mesenchymal and stemness markers: characterization of cell subpopulations. *Ann. Transl. Med.* 2, 109 (2014).
- Wang, S. J., Wong, G., de Heer, A. M., Xia, W. & Bourguignon, L. Y. CD44 variant isoforms in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma progression. *Laryngo-scope* **119**, 1518–1530 (2009).
- Wang, S. J. & Bourguignon, L. Y. Role of hyaluronan-mediated CD44 signaling in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma progression and chemoresistance. Am. J. Pathol. 178, 956–963 (2011).
- 62. Wang, S. J. & Bourguignon, L. Y. Hyaluronan and the interaction between CD44 and epidermal growth factor receptor in oncogenic signaling and

chemotherapy resistance in head and neck cancer. Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg. 132, 771–778 (2006).

- Torre, C., Wang, S. J., Xia, W. & Bourguignon, L. Y. Reduction of hyaluronan-CD44-mediated growth, migration, and cisplatin resistance in head and neck cancer due to inhibition of Rho kinase and Pl-3 kinase signaling. *Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* **136**, 493–501 (2010).
- Wang, S. J. & Bourguignon, L. Y. Hyaluronan-CD44 promotes phospholipase C-mediated Ca2+ signaling and cisplatin resistance in head and neck cancer. *Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 132, 19–24 (2006).
- Pascual, G. et al. Targeting metastasis-initiating cells through the fatty acid receptor CD36. *Nature* 541, 41–45 (2017).
- Pepino, M. Y., Kuda, O., Samovski, D. & Abumrad, N. A. Structure-function of CD36 and importance of fatty acid signal transduction in fat metabolism. *Annu Rev. Nutr.* **34**, 281–303 (2014).
- Muvva, C., Patra, S. & Venkatesan, S. MMpl: a wide range of available compounds of matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0159321 (2016).
- Poincloux, R., Lizárraga, F. & Chavrier, P. Matrix invasion by tumour cells: a focus on MT1-MMP trafficking to invadopodia. J. Cell Sci. 122, 3015–3024 (2009).
- Harris, R. C., Chung, E. & Coffey, R. J. EGF receptor ligands. *Exp. Cell Res.* 284, 2–13 (2003).
- Shiomi, T., Lemaître, V., D'Armiento, J. & Okada, Y. Matrix metalloproteinases, a disintegrin and metalloproteinases, and a disintegrin and metalloproteinases with thrombospondin motifs in non-neoplastic diseases. *Pathol. Int.* 60, 477–496 (2010).
- Rodríguez, D., Morrison, C. J. & Overall, C. M. Matrix metalloproteinases: What do they not do? New substrates and biological roles identified by murine models and proteomics. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1803**, 39–54 (2010).
- Suzuki, S. & Ishikawa, K. Combined inhibition of EMMPRIN and epidermal growth factor receptor prevents the growth and migration of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells. *Int. J. Oncol.* 44, 912–917 (2014).
- Pahwa, S., Stawikowski, M. J. & Fields, G. B. Monitoring and inhibiting MT1-MMP during cancer initiation and progression. *Cancers* 6, 416–435 (2014).
- Itoh, Y. Membrane-type matrix metalloproteinases: Their functions and regulations. *Matrix Biol.* 44, 207–223 (2015).
- Basile, J. R., Holmbeck, K., Bugge, T. H. & Gutkind, J. S. MT1-MMP controls tumor-induced angiogenesis through the release of semaphorin 4D. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 6899–6905 (2007).
- Mori, H. et al. New insight into the role of MMP14 in metabolic balance. *Peer J.* 4, e2142 (2016).
- Remacle, A. G. et al. Novel MT1-MMP small molecule inhibitors based on insights into hemopexin domain function in tumor growth. *Cancer Res.* 72, 2339–2349 (2012).
- Cathcart, J., Pulkoski-Grossa, A. & Cao, J. Targeting matrix metalloproteinases in cancer: bringing new life to old ideas. *Genes Dis.* 2, 26–34 (2015).
- Gibbons, D. L. & Byers, L. A. A. HER 1-2 punch: dual EGFR targeting deals resistance a deadly blow. *Cancer Discov.* 4, 991–994 (2014).
- Matar, P. et al. Combined epidermal growth factor receptor targeting with the tyrosine kinase inhibitor gefitinib (ZD1839) and the monoclonal antibody cetuximab (IMC-C225): superiority over single-agent receptor targeting. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **10**, 6487–6501 (2004).
- Jimeno, A. et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor dynamics influences response to epidermal growth factor receptor targeted agents. *Cancer Res.* 65, 3003–3010 (2005).
- Wheeler, J. J. et al. Combining erlotinib and cetuximab is associated with activity in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (including squamous cell carcinomas) and wild-type EGFR or resistant mutations. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 12, 2167–2175 (2013).
- Huang, S., Armstrong, E. A., Benavente, S., Chinnaiyan, P. & Harari, P. M. Dualagent molecular targeting of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR): combining anti-EGFR antibody with tyrosine kinase inhibitor. *Cancer Res.* 64, 5355–5362 (2004).
- Janjigian, Y. Y. et al. Dual inhibition of EGFR with afatinib and cetuximab in kinase inhibitor-resistant EGFR-mutant lung cancer with and without T790M mutations. *Cancer Discov.* 4, 1036–1045 (2014).
- Ribeiro Gomes, J. & Cruz, M. R. Combination of afatinib with cetuximab in patients with EGFR-mutant non-small-cell lung cancer resistant to EGFR inhibitors. Onco Targets Ther. 8, 1137–1142 (2015).

- Pirazzoli, V. et al. Afatinib plus cetuximab delays resistance compared to single-agent erlotinib or afatinib in mouse models of TKI-Naïve EGFR L858R-induced lung adenocarcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 22, 426–435 (2016).
- Li, C., Iida, M., Dunn, E. F., Ghia, A. J. & Wheeler, D. L. Nuclear EGFR contributes to acquired resistance to cetuximab. *Oncogene* 28, 3801–3813 (2009).
- Hanahan, D. & Weinberg, R. A. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* 144, 646–674 (2011).
- Vander Heiden, M. G., Cantley, L. C. & Thompson, C. B. Understanding the Warburg effect: the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation. *Science* 324, 1029–1033 (2009).
- DeBerardinis, R. J., Lum, J. J., Hatzivassiliou, G. & Thompson, C. B. The biology of cancer: metabolic reprogramming fuels cell growth and proliferation. *Cell Metab.* 7, 11–20 (2008).
- Hsu, P. P. & Sabatini, D. M. Cancer cell metabolism: Warburg and beyond. *Cell* 134, 703–707 (2008).
- Cammaroto, G. et al. The role of PET/CT in the management of patients affected by head and neck tumors: a review of the literature. *Eur. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* 273, 1961–1973 (2016).
- 93. Zhang, D. et al. 2-Deoxy-D-glucose targeting of glucose metabolism in cancer cells as a potential therapy. *Cancer Lett.* **355**, 176–183 (2014).
- Raez, L. E. et al. A phase I dose-escalation trial of 2-deoxy-D-glucose alone or combined with docetaxel in patients with advanced solid tumors. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* **71**, 523–530 (2016).
- Weinhouse, S. Studies on the fate of isotopically labeled metabolites in the oxidative metabolism of tumors. *Cancer Res.* 11, 585–591 (1951).
- Weinhouse, S. Oxidative metabolism of neoplastic tissues. Adv. Cancer Res. 3, 269–325 (1955).
- Hay, N. Reprogramming glucose metabolism in cancer: can it be exploited for cancer therapy? *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 16, 635–649 (2016).
- Fouad, Y. A. & Aanei, C. Revisiting the hallmarks of cancer. Am. J. Cancer Res. 7, 1016–1036 (2017).
- Carracedo, A., Cantley, L. C. & Pandolfi, P. P. Cancer metabolism: fatty acid oxidation in the limelight. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 13, 227–232 (2013).
- Jeon, S. M., Chandel, N. S. & Hay, N. AMPK regulates NADPH homeostasis to promote tumour cell survival during energy stress. *Nature* 485, 661–665 (2012).
- Menendez, J. A. & Lupu, R. Fatty acid synthase and the lipogenic phenotype in cancer pathogenesis. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 7, 763–777 (2007).
- Rysman, E. et al. De novo lipogenesis protects cancer cells from free radicals and chemotherapeutics by promoting membrane lipid saturation. *Cancer Res.* **70**, 8117–8126 (2010).
- Cheong, J. H. et al. Dual inhibition of tumor energy pathway by 2deoxyglucose and metformin is effective against a broad spectrum of preclinical cancer models. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **10**, 2350–2362 (2011).
- Vyas, S., Zaganjor, E. & Haigis, M. C. Mitochondria and cancer. *Cell* 166, 555–566 (2016).
- Rêgo, D. F., Elias, S. T., Amato, A. A., Canto, G. L. & Guerra, E. N. Anti-tumor effects of metformin on head and neck carcinoma cell lines: a systematic review. *Oncol. Lett.* **13**, 554–566 (2017).
- 106. Luo J., et al. An indispensable role of CPT-1a to survive cancer cells during energy stress through rewiring cancer metabolism. *Tumour Biol.* 2016; e-pub ahead of print 13 October 2016; https://doi.org/10.1007/s13277-016-5382-6.
- 107. Ben Sahra, I. et al. Targeting cancer cell metabolism: the combination of metformin and 2-deoxyglucose induces p53-dependent apoptosis in prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* **70**, 2465–2475 (2010).
- Yamamoto, M., Inohara, H. & Nakagawa, T. Targeting metabolic pathways for head and neck cancers therapeutics. *Cancer Metastas-. Rev.* 36, 503–514 (2017).
- von Roemeling, C. A. & Copland, J. A. Targeting lipid metabolism for the treatment of anaplastic thyroid carcinoma. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* 20, 159–166 (2016).
- Röhrig, F. & Schulze, A. The multifaceted roles of fatty acid synthesis in cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 16, 732–749 (2016).
- Li, X. et al. AMPK-mediated energy homeostasis and associated metabolic effects on cancer cell response and resistance to cetuximab. *Oncotarget* 6, 11507–11518 (2015).
- Wheeler, D. L., Dunn, E. F. & Harari, P. M. Understanding resistance to EGFR inhibitors-impact on future treatment strategies. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 7, 493–507 (2010).

- Nevo, J. et al. Mammary-derived growth inhibitor alters traffic of EGFR and induces a novel form of cetuximab resistance. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 15, 6570–6581 (2009).
- Wheeler, D. L. et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor cooperates with Src family kinases in acquired resistance to cetuximab. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* 8, 696–703 (2009).
- Sok, J. C. et al. Mutant epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFRvIII) contributes to head and neck cancer growth and resistance to EGFR targeting. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **12**, 5064–5073 (2006).
- 117. Lièvre, A. et al. KRAS mutation status is predictive of response to cetuximab therapy in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res.* **6**, 3992–3995 (2006).
- Viloria-Petit, A. et al. Acquired resistance to the antitumor effect of epidermal growth factor receptor-blocking antibodies in vivo: a role for altered tumor angiogenesis. *Cancer Res.* 61, 5090–5101 (2001).
- Bianco, R. et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 contributes to resistance to anti-epidermal growth factor receptor drugs in human cancer cells. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 14, 5069–5080 (2008).
- 120. Fuchs, B. C. et al. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and integrin-linked kinase mediate sensitivity to epidermal growth factor receptor inhibition in human hepatoma cells. *Cancer Res.* 68, 2391–2399 (2008).
- 121. Kobayashi, S. et al. EGFR mutation and resistance of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **352**, 786–792 (2005).
- Pao, W. et al. Acquired resistance of lung adenocarcinomas to gefitinib or erlotinib is associated with a second mutation in the EGFR kinase domain. *PLoS Med.* 2, e73 (2005).
- Ji, H. et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor variant III mutations in lung tumorigenesis and sensitivity to tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* USA 103, 7817–7822 (2006).
- 124. She, Q. B., Solit, D., Basso, A. & Moasser, M. M. Resistance to gefitinib in PTENnull HER overexpressing tumor cells can be overcome through restoration of PTEN function or pharmacologic modulation of constitutive

phosphatidylinositol 3'-kinase/Akt pathway signaling. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 9, 4340–4346 (2003).

- Bianco, R. et al. Loss of PTEN/MMAC1/TEP in EGF receptor-expressing tumor cells counteracts the antitumor action of EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Oncogene 22, 2812–2822 (2003).
- Pao, W. et al. KRAS mutations and primary resistance of lung adenocarcinomas to gefitinib or erlotinib. *PLoS Med.* 2, e17 (2005).
- 127. Zhou, B. B. et al. Targeting ADAM-mediated ligand cleavage to inhibit HER3 and EGFR pathways in non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Cell* **10**, 39–50 (2006).
- Engelman, J. A. et al. MET amplification leads to gefitinib resistance in lung cancer by activating ERBB3 signaling. *Science* **316**, 1039–1043 (2007).
- 129. Yano, S. et al. Hepatocyte growth factor induces gefitinib resistance of lung adenocarcinoma with epidermal growth factor receptor-activating mutations. *Cancer Res.* **68**, 9479–9487 (2008).
- Ciardiello, F. et al. Antitumor activity of ZD6474, a vascular endothelial growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in human cancer cells with acquired resistance to antiepidermal growth factor receptor therapy. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 10, 784–793 (2004).
- Chakravarti, A., Loeffler, J. S. & Dyson, N. J. Insulin-like growth factor receptor I mediates resistance to anti-epidermal growth factor receptor therapy in primary human glioblastoma cells through continued activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase signaling. *Cancer Res.* 62, 200–207 (2002).
- Guix, M. et al. Acquired resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors in cancer cells is mediated by loss of IGF-binding proteins. J. Clin. Invest. 118, 2609–2619 (2008).
- Yu, H. A. et al. Analysis of tumor specimens at the time of acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI therapy in 155 patients with EGFR-mutant lung cancers. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **19**, 2240–2247 (2013).
- Alam, N. et al. Small-cell carcinoma with an epidermal growth factor receptor mutation in a never-smoker with gefitinib-responsive adenocarcinoma of the lung. *Clin. Lung Cancer* **11**, E1–E4 (2010).